

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,486 09/08/2003		Carl L. Sisemore	84628	2685
23501	7590 11/30/2006	EXAMINER		
	RFACE WARFARE (COUNSEL, CODE XDC	THORNEWELL, KIMBERLY A		
17320 DAHLO	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAHLGREN,	VA 22448-5110		2128	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner			Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Kimberly Thornewell 2128	Office Action Summary		10/657,48	6	SISEMORE, CARL L				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exemition of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 130(s), he re-worth rowers, may arely be terminy flate. If NO period for reply is posified above, the maximum standary period will apply and will explose SIX (8) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication. Fallurs to reply the position of the later and received period for reply is posified above, the maximum standary period will apply and will explose SIX (8) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication. Fallurs to reply which the sect extended period for reply is position, and the product of the section of the			Examiner		Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a regly be timely filed and become the mailing date of this communication for regly is specified above, the maximum alterus period to regly to specified to regly is period to regly will, by above the expired to become ASANDONED (31 U.S. 2 133). Any pays received by the Office is the most received as the office is the matter the remaining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any control parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 September 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. □ 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5□ □ Claim(s) 1.2 is/are rejected. 7□ □ Claim(s) 1.2 is/are rejected. 7□ □ Claim(s) 1.2 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10□ □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10□ □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9□ □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 11□ □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12□ □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3□ □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1□ □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ application from the International Bureau (PCF Rule 1.7 2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			Kimberly T	hornewell	2128				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edamisors dime may be available under the provision of 37 CPT 1.136(a). Inn event, however, may a reply be timely field after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the state of the communication of the communic			appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 September 2006. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the marking time.	DATE OF TH t 1.136(a). In no eve iod will apply and wil atute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cation to become ABANDONEL). lely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	Status								
3	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	September 2	<u>006</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)⊠								
Al)	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.	4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.							
6) Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) All interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Paftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicati	on Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b)[\square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority L	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) Att									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * June 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. * 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * June 1									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	" See τηe attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application				A) Distanciano Occasione	(DTO 442)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		_	atent Application				

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 and 2 were originally presented for examination. In the Office Action dated 6/20/2006, claims 1 and 2 were rejected. In the reply dated 9/20/2006, the Applicant amended claims 1 and 2 and therefore both claims remain pending in the instant application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 9/20/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See detailed explanation before.

Response: Section 112, Second Paragraph Rejection

Although the Examiner thanks the Applicant for amending most of the indefinite terms throughout claim 1 in order to overcome the rejection, the terms "added lumped masses" in the last line of claim 1 and "and/or" in line 4 of claim 2 remain indefinite. Consequently, the rejection of both claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, is maintained.

Response: Section 102 Rejection

Regarding claim 1,

The Applicant argued that the ShipCAM reference does not teach modeling a ship as gross hull and beam portions and integrating into a portion of the beam portion a detailed section.

The Examiner respectfully traverses with the following:

Art Unit: 2128

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., *gross* hull and beam portions in the ship model) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In the "constructing a beam model" step of the method, it is claimed that the beam model is constructed within the dry region of the hull model. ShipCAM teaches the construction of the beam model as, for example, the stiffeners on page 60 (see figure). The fact that this model is constructed within the dry region of the hull model is taught on page 133, under the heading "Stringer Angle." Again, there is no requirement within the claim for a ship model of gross hull and beam portions. Even if this limitation were within the claim, the Examiner directs the Applicant's attention to the figure on the bottom right of page 72. As described in the paragraph above the figure, a ShipConstructor model is shown of a police boat, combining the surface structure from the hull model and the internal structure. The rightmost portion of the ship model has been cut away to reveal the hull model (depicted at the rear of the ship model) and some of the beams from the beam model towards the front of the ship). Hence, the ship modeled in ShipCAM is modeled is gross hull and beam portions.

The last step of the method refers to "replacing the part of the beam model with the detailed model." Again, the Examiner directs the Applicant's attention to the figure on the bottom right of page 72. As described above, the rightmost portion of the ship model has been cut away to reveal the hull and beam models. However, in the left portion of the model, a "detailed" figure is shown which covers up, or "replaces" that part of the beam model.

Regarding claim 2,

The Applicant argued that the ShipCAM reference does not teach adding lumped masses and varying cross-section and/or material properties until the natural frequencies of the model and ship substantially agree.

The Examiner respectfully traverses with the following:

The adding of lumped masses is taught beginning on page 55 under the section "Weights & CG." This section teaches the user how to calculate the weights along each individual plate, and also for all plates combined. In particular, steps 6-8 on pages 56 and 57 teach setting the parameters for the blocks/plates, including how much extra material to add (step 6). In steps 9-11 on page 57, the weights based on the parameters inputted by the user are calculated. Hence the adding of lumped masses is taught by the ShipCAM reference because one can add extra material to partitions of the ship model, hence adding masses.

The varying of cross-sectional properties until the natural frequencies of the model and ship agree is taught on page 21, in the figure at the top of the page and by step 7. Step 7 teaches recalculating the spline in the cross section until the waterline is faired. The figure at the top of the page shows the frequencies of the ship. Steps 8, 9, and the Note below step 9 teach repeating the step of varying the cross-sectional property until the waterline and surface are smooth (i.e., the natural frequencies are in agreement. The varying of material properties is taught on page 56, particularly in step 6 and the figure directly below, where the type and amount of material to be added are inputted.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,486 Page 5

Art Unit: 2128

Because all of the limitations of claims 1 and 2 are taught by the ShipCAM reference, the rejection of the claims under Section 102 is maintained.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 1 is objected to because the Applicant's amendment in line 1 of the claim should read "A method for structural modeling of a ship."
- 4. The Applicant's amendment of the third limitation of claim 1, lines 1 and 2, should read "through a series of substantially rigid rib connections."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "added lumped masses" in the last line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 uses the language "and/or" in line 4 to describe properties of the ship that are varied until the natural frequencies of the ship and model are in agreement. It is unclear whether one of or both of the properties would need to be varied.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,486 Page 6

Art Unit: 2128

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1 and 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Albacore Research, Ltd., "ShipConstructor: ShipCAM," 2001 ed. ("ShipCAM," hereinafter).

As per claim 1,

ShipCAM discloses a method for structural modeling of a ship including equipment, hull, keel and integral structure, the method comprising:

- Constructing a thin shell hull model of the ship (page 24, under "Surface Generation" first paragraph, for constructing surfaces of a hull) having cross-sections extending along a longitudinal centerline (page 15 under "Longitudinal Fairing", cross-sections taught in step 4), the cross-sections defining wet and dry regions (page 50 step 9, inside and outside of the shell plating), the hull model having a plurality of hull nodes (page 25 under "Cross Spline Surface," nodes taught as "vertices");
- Constructing a beam model of the ship within the dry region, the beam model having a principal beam that extends along the centerline (page 37, under section "Cutting Sections," planar model showing plane going through centerline of hull), the beam model having a plurality of beam nodes (page 58 step 9, "mark lines" on beams, or "stringers");

Application/Control Number: 10/657,486

Art Unit: 2128

• Connecting the principal beam to the hull model through a series of substantially rigid rib connections from the beam nodes to corresponding members of the hull

nodes (stiffeners on page 135, under section "Open Cutout References");

Page 7

- Adjusting the beam model to characterize inertial mass (page 57 step 11, showing adjusted weights) and stiffness of the ship (page 58 step 9, adjusting the stiffeners);
- Constructing a detailed model of a cross-section portion of the ship, the portion extending longitudinally along part of the beam and hull models (page 72 figure on bottom right of page), the detailed model including nodes that represent equipment (left side portion of modeled ship in figure), the hull model and the beam model (right side portion of modeled ship in figure);
- Replacing the part of the beam model with the detailed model (detailed model in left side portion of modeled ship replaces beam model in figure); and
- Removing added lump masses along the beam at the detailed model (page 72 first paragraph).

As per claim 2,

ShipCAM discloses adjusting the beam model further comprising adding lumped masses along the beam in approximate proportion to a mass distribution of the ship (page 56-57 steps 6-11), and varying the cross-sectional (page 21 steps 7-9) and/or material properties of the model (page 56 step 6) until the ship and model natural frequencies of the ship are in substantial agreement.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - US Patent no. 5,627,949, issued to Letcher Jr. on 5/6/1997.
 - US Patent no. 6,317,387, issued to D'Amaddio et al. on 11/13/2001.
- 10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly Thornewell whose telephone number is (571)272-6543. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on (571)272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,486

Art Unit: 2128

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kimberly A. Thornewell
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2128

KAT

